UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

MALIBU	MEDIA.	LLC.

v.

Case No. 13-11401 HON. TERRENCE G. BERG

JOHN DOE subscriber assigned IP address, 67.149.153.211,

Defendant.	

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SERVE THIRD-PARTY SUBPOENA PRIOR TO RULE 26(f) CONFERENCE

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff Malibu Media's ex parte motion for leave to serve a subpoena on internet service provider WideOpenWest ("ISP"), seeking the name and contact information of the John Doe defendant identified in the complaint. The Court finds Plaintiff has established that good cause exists for its request to serve a subpoena before a Rule 26(f) conference.

Accordingly, the Plaintiff's motion for leave to serve a subpoena on the ISP, Doc. 2, is **GRANTED** to the following extent:

1. Plaintiff may serve the ISP with a Rule 45 subpoena commanding the ISP to provide Plaintiff with <u>only</u> the true name and address of the John Doe defendant to whom the ISP assigned IP address 67.149.153.211. Plaintiff shall attach to any such subpoena a copy of this Order.

4:13-cv-11401-TGB-MKM Doc # 5 Filed 04/08/13 Pg 2 of 3 Pg ID 55

2. Plaintiff may also serve a Rule 45 subpoena in the same manner as

above on any service provider that is identified in response to a subpoena as a

provider of Internet services to the Defendant.

3. Within seven days of service of the subpoena, the ISP shall reasonably

attempt to identify John Doe and provide him or her with a copy of the subpoena

and this Order. If the ISP is unable to determine, to a reasonable degree of technical

certainty, the identity of the user of the particular IP address, it shall so notify the

Court and Plaintiff's counsel.

4. The subpoenaed ISP shall not require Plaintiff to pay a fee in advance

of providing the subpoenaed information, nor shall the subpoenaed ISP require

Plaintiff to pay a fee for an IP address that is not controlled by the ISP. If

necessary, the Court will resolve any disputes between the ISP and Plaintiff

regarding the reasonableness of the amount proposed to be charged by the ISP after

the subpoenaed information is provided to Plaintiff.

5. Plaintiff may only use the information disclosed in response to a Rule

45 subpoena served on the ISP for the purpose of protecting and enforcing Plaintiff's

rights as set forth in its Complaint.

DONE AND ORDERED this 8th day of April, 2013.

s/Terrence G. Berg

TERRENCE G. BERG

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that this Order was electronically submitted on April 8, 2013, using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification to each party.

By: s/A. Chubb Case Manager